

**Responses to Questions 1-5 in the PUMA-STEM College Readiness Workshop packet
(adapted from whiteboard notes after small group discussions):**

1. How should we define “college readiness” in terms of a student being able to graduate from college-level STEM programs?

- Need to differentiate academic versus personal issues:
- Ability to persist
- Confidence
- Math skills
- Writing skills
- Linguistic skills
- Test taking skills (without a study guide)
- No need for remedial course work
- Critical thinking skills
- Curiosity
- An “attitude for education”
- Metacognition
- Life skills
- Study skills
- Being proactive
- Time management skills
- Decision making skills

2. What are the most significant barriers to “college readiness” that relate to secondary STEM programming or other pre-college training?

- Inability to communicate
- Inability to seek help
- Not knowing how much work is required
- Lack of realistic view of what is needed to succeed
- Lack of study skills
- Lack of notetaking skills
- Lack of preparedness
- Lack of understanding
- Lack of continuity across educational stages (K-12 through college)
- Lack of motivation
- Excessive distractions
- Fear of success
- Fear of failure
- Social stigma
- Lack of resources
- Lack of support at home
- Fear of STEM
- “Inherited” fear of STEM or academics (e.g., from parents)
- Mentality of compliance
- Reliance on reward

- Teachers judged by a pass rate
- "Oversupport" in K-12 schooling
- Preconceptions of teachers about underrepresented minority students
- Student lack of accountability

3. *Are barriers to “college readiness” in STEM experienced differently by underrepresented minorities (URMs) in STEM compared to non-URMs (e.g., white students)? If so, what are these barriers and how do you think student experiences differ?*

Generally, the answer was “Yes” to the first question, with comments on the second:

- Lack of familiar role models
- Lack of parental understanding of the value of STEM
- Financial barriers disproportionately affecting URM students
- Lack of family support of loans for higher education
- Responsibilities as a parent
- Responsibilities as a non-parental caretaker
- Responsibilities to family
- Lack of "fitting in"
- Lack of a sense of belonging
- Lack of access to resources
- Teachers' expectations that URMs may underperform

Several participants noted that it may be important or necessary to break out additional characteristics of URM and non-URM students:

- Gender
- First-generation students
- Urban versus rural
- Commuter versus non-commuter
- Four year student versus transfer student
- Athlete versus non-athlete

4. *Are there certain challenges/barriers (e.g., social stigma, inadequate K-12 academics, etc.) that are experienced to a greater extent within some demographics of URM students compared to others?*

- Undocumented students experiencing greater financial barriers
- Students from some backgrounds/cultures may stay closer to home (spend less time on campus)
- Some URMs may have more non-academic family obligations than non-URMs
- Differences in accessibility of technology (e.g., internet access at home, resources to complete online homework, possession of a graphing calculator, etc.)
- Possession of textbook on the first day of class
- Stigma around changing academic path (proposed barrier in Latino/a families)
- Lack of acceptance from peers

5. *What are the most important aspects of secondary (i.e., high school) STEM education, in terms of facilitating improved enrollment and completion rates for URM students in college STEM programs?*

- Improving pedagogues
- Improving academic advising
- Teaching students how to get help when they need it
- Teaching what it means to be in STEM
- Communicating to students about what is needed for success in STEM
- Increasing the number of "near peers" (student mentorship)
- Improving continuity of math education (e.g., not skipping math during senior year of high school)
- Increasing exposure to rigor
- Improving student recognition of the need for diligence in STEM
- Improving aspects of advanced placement and dual credit in STEM
- Building interest in STEM
- Building curiosity about STEM
- Emphasizing applied STEM (e.g., engineering) to grow interest and engagement
- Increasing mentorship
- Promoting students seeing themselves as STEM practitioners
- Better teaching of basic skills (reading, writing, note-taking, etc.)

Possible solutions/improvements to the problems being addressed:

- Cohort model
- Peer mentoring
- Building "communities of practice"
- Extracurricular activities may help to promote belonging and success (if time burden is not excessive)
- Bring URM students to college campuses early and expose them to STEM and familiar role models
- Build an open and embracing environment where URM students feel like they belong in STEM